



TITLE:

# Endomorphisms of a Module over a Local Ring(Algorithmic problems in algebra, languages and computation systems)

AUTHOR(S):

Ishibashi, Hiroyuki

---

CITATION:

Ishibashi, Hiroyuki. Endomorphisms of a Module over a Local Ring(Algorithmic problems in algebra, languages and computation systems). 数理解析研究所講究録 2006, 1503: 92-94

ISSUE DATE:

2006-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/58471>

RIGHT:

# Endomorphisms of a Module over a Local Ring<sup>1</sup>

城西大学理学部数学科 石橋 宏行 (Hiroyuki Ishibashi)<sup>2</sup>

Department of Mathematics,  
Josai University,  
Sakado, Saitama 350-02, Japan

The matrix  $A$  of an endomorphism  $\sigma$  of a module  $M$  over a ring  $R$  is completely determined by the choice of a basis  $X$  for  $M$ , where  $A$  is called the matrix of  $\sigma$  relative to  $X$ .

Therefore, it will be natural to seek  $X$  giving a simple  $A$ , which is our primitive motivation.

Now, let  $R$  be a field. Then we have a good example of such  $A$  expressed in a nice form for a suitable  $X$ . Indeed, we know the following fact (see Lang[7,p557, Theorem 2.1] or Herstein[4,p307, Theorem 6.7.1]):

**Theorem.** Let  $R$  be a field. Then there are  $m$  elements  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$  in  $M$  and  $m$  polynomials  $\{g_1(t), g_2(t), \dots, g_m(t)\}$  in the polynomial ring  $R[t]$  over  $R$  in one indeterminate  $t$  such that  $A$  is a direct sum of  $m$  companion matrices of  $\{g_1(t), g_2(t), \dots, g_m(t)\}$ .

What can we say about this result, if  $R$  is a local ring? Is it possible to get a concise form of  $A$  as above? To analyze this problem is the purpose of this note.

So, let  $R$  be a local ring with the identity 1 and the unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $M$  a free module of rank  $n$  over  $R$ , and  $\text{End}_R M$  the endomorphism ring of  $M$ .

Then we have two canonical maps

$$\pi_R : R \rightarrow \overline{R} = R/\mathfrak{m} \quad \text{defined by} \quad a \mapsto \bar{a} = a + \mathfrak{m}$$

and

$$\pi_M : M \rightarrow \overline{M} = M/\mathfrak{m}M \quad \text{defined by} \quad x \mapsto \bar{x} = x + \mathfrak{m}M.$$

<sup>1</sup>This is an abstract and the details will be published elsewhere.

<sup>2</sup>e-mail: hishi@math.josai.ac.jp

Since  $\bar{R}$  is a field,  $\bar{M}$  is a vector space over  $\bar{R}$  by the scalar multiplication  $\bar{a}\bar{x} = \overline{ax}$  for  $a \in R$  and  $x \in M$ . Clearly the ring homomorphism  $\pi_R$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism if we define  $\bar{a}\bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  for  $a, b \in R$ . Also  $\pi_M$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism.

Further, for  $x \in M$  and  $\sigma \in \text{End}_R M$ , if we define  $\bar{\sigma}\bar{x} = \overline{\sigma x}$ , we obtain an endomorphism  $\bar{\sigma}$  of  $\bar{M}$ , that is,  $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{End}_{\bar{R}} \bar{M}$ . Thus we have the third canonical map

$$\pi_E : \text{End}_R M \rightarrow \text{End}_{\bar{R}} \bar{M} \quad \text{by} \quad \sigma \mapsto \bar{\sigma},$$

which is a ring homomorphism.

An element  $\rho \in \text{End}_R M$  is called a permutation if it is a permutation on some basis for  $M$ . Also  $\delta \in \text{End}_R M$  is diagonal if the matrix of  $\delta$  is diagonal relative to some basis for  $M$ .

Also we denote the ring of  $r \times s$  matrices over  $R$  by  $M_{r,s}(R)$ , and by  $M_r(R)$  if  $r = s$ . Then, our results are as follows:

**Theorem A.** For any  $\sigma \in \text{End}_R M$  there is a new basis  $X$  and a permutation  $\rho$  on  $X$  such that the matrix of  $\rho^{-1}\sigma$  relative to  $X$  is expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-m} & O_{n-m,m} \\ B_{m,n-m} & D_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where

- (i)  $m$  is the number of the invariant factors of  $\bar{\sigma}$ ,
- (ii)  $I_{n-m} \in M_{n-m}(R)$  is the identity matrix,
- (iii)  $O_{n-m,m} \in M_{n-m,m}(R)$  is the zero matrix,
- (iv)  $D_m \in (d_{ij}) \in M_m(R)$  is a matrix with  $d_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$  if  $i \neq j$ , i.e., diagonal modulo  $m$ ,

and

- (v)  $B_{m,n-m} = (b_{ij}) \in M_{m,n-m}(R)$  is a matrix such that for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  we have

$$b_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

for  $j \leq \prod_{\lambda=1}^{i-1} (n_\lambda - 1)$  or  $\prod_{\mu=1}^i (n_\mu - 1) < j$ .

### References

- [1] J. Dieudonné, Sur les générateurs des groupes classiques, *Summa Bras. Math.* 3, (1955) 149-178
- [2] E.W. Ellers, H.Ishibashi, Factorizations of Transformations over a Valuation Ring, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 85(1987) 17-27.
- [3] A.J. Hahn, O.T. O'Meara, The Classical Groups and K-Theory, *Grund. Math. Wissenschaften*, vol. 291, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York/Tokyo, 1989.
- [4] I.N.Herstein, *Topics in Algebra* (2nd ed.), John Wiley and Sons, New York/Singapore, 1975.
- [5] H. Ishibashi, Involutions and Semiinvolutions, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* (in press)
- [6] ———, Length Problems for Automorphisms of Modules over Local Rings, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, (to appear).
- [7] S. Lang, *Algebra* (3rd ed.), Addison Wesley, Tokyo, 1999.
- [8] B.R. McDonald, *Geometric Algebra over Local Rings*, Dekker, New York, 1976.